

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,405		09/30/2003	Thomas W. Trueb	TRB-22	8515
826	7590	05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
ALSTON	& BIRD	LLP	SAETHER, FLEMMING		
BANK OF A		A PLAZA N STREET, SUITE 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			3677	
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/675,405	TRUEB ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Flemming Saether	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ag	oril 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	∆ □	(DTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10, in the reply filed on 4/3/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, claims 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the diameter of the central portion being larger than the second diameter must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Applicant is reminded that drawing corrections must be submitted, and labeled, as "Replacement Sheets".

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, the last thee lines which claim the location of the diameters is redundant since such has already been claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vick (US 3,717,067). Vick discloses a rigid fastener being generally cylindrical in form and comprising an end portion (13) having a plurality of circumferentially extending barb-like projections of a first diameter and an axially adjacent inward section (12) also having a plurality of circumferentially extending barb-like projections having a second diameter. The second diameter being larger than the first diameter. The fastener being capable of engagement with a resiliently yieldable material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vick as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ravetto (US 5,842,319). Vick does not disclose the engagement portion at opposite end portions. Ravetto discloses a rigid fastener (10) wherein engagement portions (11) are symmetrical at opposite end portions separated by central portion (14) having a larger diameter. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3677

art to provide the device of Vick with an engagement portion at opposite end portions as disclosed in Ravetto so that the fastener could be used in applications to fasten members together where it would be optimal to locate the fastener between the members such as for disclosed in Ravetto. With the fastener being located between the members it would enable it to be operable with members having a substantial thickness greater than the length of the fastener. The specific shape of the central portion would have been obvious since there is no criticality to the shape.

Claims 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vick as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of MacKenzie (US 2,328,823). Vick does not disclose the engagement portion at opposite end portions. MacKenzie discloses a rigid fastener (Fig. 15) wherein engagement portions (30) are symmetrical at opposite end portions separated by central portion (29) having a not larger diameter. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device of Vick with an engagement portion at opposite end portions as disclosed in MacKenzie so that the fastener could be used in applications to fasten members together where it would be optimal to locate the fastener between the members such as for disclosed in MacKenzie. With the fastener being located between the members it would enable it to be operable with members having a substantial thickness greater than the length of the fastener. Again, the specific shape of the central portion would have been obvious since there is no criticality to the shape.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,405 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Plemming Saether Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677